

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/083,100	0	2/26/2002	Martin Smith	476-2095	5437
23644	7590	02/07/2005		EXAMINER	
BARNES &	& THORN	IBURG	NGUYEN, THUAN T		
P.O. BOX 2' CHICAGO,)-2786	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2685	
				DATE MAILED: 02/07/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/083,100	SMITH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		THUAN T. NGUYEN	2685				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC nsions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ill. by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) <u></u>	his action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□	since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
- 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.					
	ion Papers	·					
	The specification is objected to by the	Eveminer					
	•		phicated to by the Everines				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
		- · · ·	` ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•••	The ball of declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t/e)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	iummary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No(s	Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date 9/29/93 / Pc to b		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Tr		6) Other:					
PTOL-326 (R		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 12805				

V

Application/Control Number: 10/083,100

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-7, and 11-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rudrapatna (U.S. Patent No. 6,801,790 B2/ or "Rud' for short).

Regarding claims 1 and 20, Rud discloses "a radio communications device comprising at least three diverse antennas and one of a plurality of transmit chains or a plurality of receive chains, and wherein there are fewer of said chains than antennas" (Fig. 1 with a plurality of antennas are more than transmit or receive chains using signal paths 130, 132, 134 or 136, with groups of antennas 102, 104, 106, 108, 112, 114, 116, and 118, see col. 4/line 49 to col. 5/line 24 & col. 6/lines 18-49).

For claim 2, Rud discloses "which is arranged to provide multiple-input multiple-output communications" (MIMO, see col. 4/lines 5-48).

For claims 3 and 4, Rud disclosees "wherein said antennas each have directionality" and "wherein said at least three diverse of the antennas have one of spatial diversity and polarisation diversity" (col. 3/lines 25-44 & col. 4/lines 48-62).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,100

Art Unit: 2685

For claim 5, Rud discloses "which is selected from a base station and a user terminal" (col. 1/lines 5-50 as this technique is for use within MIMO in UMTS system for mobile stations and base stations, see col. 6/lines 2-15).

For claims 6-7, Rud discloses "further comprises a selector arranged to select for each chain, one of said antennas for use in conjunction with said chain"; "wherein said selector comprises a switching mechanism arranged to switch the antennas between said chains" (col. 6/lines 17-49 for switching and selector mechanism or control 128 with switches 120, 122, 124, 126).

For claims 11-12, in view of claim 6, Rud further discloses "wherein said selector is arranged to select on the basis of a signal strength indicator" and "which is arranged to provide multiple-input multiple-output communications and where said selector is arranged to select on the basis of parameters related to any one of a frame error rate, link capacity and elgenvalues" (col. 6/line 50 to col. 7/line 64 for parameters including signal strengths and/or link capacity based on frequency content, amplitude, phase, code etc.).

For claims 13 and 21, Rud shows "wherein each of said antennas is arranged to provide a directional antenna beam and wherein at least some of said antenna beams are of substantially different pointing directions than others of said antenna beams", i.e., mixed or variety of antennas are used for directions, and one can be substantially different than the others (col. 3/lines 25-64).

For claims 14 and 15, Rud shows "comprising four pairs of antennas each pair of antennas being supported from a body which is sized and shaped such that it is portable and suitable to be supported on a substantially flat surface" and "wherein said body is a

Art Unit: 2685

parallelepiped and each pair of antennas is supported from a different face of said parallelepiped" (Fig. 1, and col. 4/line 49 to col. 5/line 24 for four pairs of antennas addressed, which all four pairs stand on a flat surface as shown in vertically upward direction).

For claim 16, Rud discloses "wherein said antennas are dipoles" (col. 5/lines 11-24).

For claim 17, Rud shows "wherein one of each pair of dipoles is arranged such that its ends are directed towards the body" (Fig. 1, and col. 5/lines 8-24).

For claims 18-19, Rud discloses "comprises a selector arranged to select a first subset of the antennas for transmission and a second subset of the antennas for reception" and "which is suitable for use in a multiple-input multiple-output communications system and where the first subset is two of the antennas and the second subset is four of the antennas" (col. 5/line 25 to col. 6/line 49 for MIMO, either reception or transmit chain for a first group and a second group of antennas, a subset of four antennas is clearly shown).

Regarding claims 22-24 and 25, these claims for "a method of operating a radio communications device which comprises at least three diverse antennas and one of a plurality of transmit chains or a plurality of receive chains, and wherein there are fewer of said chains than antennas, said method comprising the steps of: i) selecting, for each chain, one of the antennas for use in conjunction with said chain" and "a computer program stored on a computer readable medium and arranged to carry out the method of claim 22" are rejected for the reasons given in the scope of claims 1 and 11-12 as already disclosed in details above.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,100 Page 5

Art Unit: 2685

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rudrapatna (U.S. Patent 6,801,790 B2) in view of Gesbert (US Pub 2002/0056066 A1).

Regarding claim 8, Rud does not mention "wherein said selector is arranged to select on the basis of a parameter related to a cyclic redundancy check process"; however, Gesbert teaches in a communication system, signal transmission quality or parameters can be keeping track by evaluating BER or PER -packet data error rate- by applying a cyclic redundancy check (CRC) (Gesbert, page 5, par. 0056). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Rud's system with a known technique as CRC check in order to select parameters as desired. For claims 9 and 10, Rud shows "wherein said selector is further arranged to select for each receive chain one of the antennas not currently selected for use in conjunction with other of the said receive chains" and "wherein said selector is further arranged to select for each transmit chain one of the antennas not currently selected for use in conjunction with other of the said transmit chains" (col. 7/line 20 to col. 8/line 48 as switches and control 128 controls the switching for other transmit or receive chain being activated alternatively based on steering, and other evaluating parameters as noted earlier).

Art Unit: 2685

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Rudrapatna '600 and Kichener (in PTO 892 attached) disclose systems related to MIMO and technique.
- 6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-5860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

TONYT.NGUYEN ATENT EXAMINER

Tony T. Nguyen Art Unit 2685 January 28, 2005